

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

DENNIS P. GLICK, Inmate #R42175, )  
  )  
  Plaintiff, )  
  )  
vs.                                       ) CIVIL NO. 06-586-GPM  
  )  
ROGER E. WALKER, JR., et al., )  
  )  
  Defendants. )

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

**MURPHY, Chief District Judge:**

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, together with a civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. However, Plaintiff has not submitted a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint as required by § 1915(a)(1). Instead, Plaintiff has submitted a trust fund account statement from Stateville Correctional Center for only one month.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall submit, within **THIRTY (30) DAYS** of the date of the entry of this order, certified copies of his prison trust fund account statement *for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint*. Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that in the event he has been transferred among institutions during this six-month period, *it is Plaintiff's*

---

<sup>1</sup>According to the Illinois Department of Corrections website (<http://www.idoc.state.il.us>), Plaintiff has been in the Illinois Department of Corrections' custody since March 2005.

*responsibility to obtain a copy of his prison trust fund account statement from each such facility and to forward it to the Court.* Plaintiff is **FURTHER ADVISED** that his obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the action was filed; such an obligation will exist whether or not Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); *see also Lucien v. Jockisch*, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998).

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that upon conclusion of this thirty-day period, should Plaintiff fail to comply with this order, this action will be dismissed for failure to comply with an order of this Court. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *see generally Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1994).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: 08/31/06

S/ G. Patrick Murphy  
G. PATRICK MURPHY  
Chief United States District Judge